



Sponsored by  
**The Science Foundation College**  
**Uganda East Africa**  
Senior one to senior six  
+256 778 633 682, 753 802709  
**Based On, best for science**

[digitalteachers.co.ug](http://digitalteachers.co.ug)



## Unit 1: History of Africa Since 1800

### Topic 6- Colonial Administration in Africa

**Competency:** The learner investigates how colonies were administered by examining selected colonial administrative policies to appreciate their impact on Africa.

#### 6.1 Colonial Administration in West Africa

##### Types of colonial administration in Africa

Colonial powers in Africa used different **types of administrative systems** to govern their territories, depending on their ideology, resources, and local conditions. These systems shaped how colonial rule was implemented and left lasting legacies in post-independence governance. Here are the main types:

#### 1. Indirect Rule

**Used by:** Britain (e.g., Nigeria, Uganda, Ghana)

- **How it worked:** Colonial authorities governed through existing traditional leaders and institutions.
- **Features:**
  - Chiefs and kings retained some authority but were subordinate to colonial officials.
  - Local customs and laws were preserved, as long as they didn't conflict with colonial interests.
- **Advantages for colonizers:**
  - Cost-effective and required fewer European personnel.
  - Reduced resistance by maintaining familiar structures.
- **Criticism:**
  - Reinforced tribal divisions and sometimes distorted traditional leadership.

#### 2. Direct Rule

**Used by:** France (in Algeria), Germany, Portugal

- **How it worked:** Colonies were governed directly by European officials with little to no involvement from local leaders.
- **Features:**
  - Centralized administration.
  - European laws and institutions imposed.
  - Indigenous systems were often dismantled.
- **Impact:**
  - Greater cultural disruption.
  - Stronger assimilation of European values.

### 3. Assimilation

**Used by:** France (especially in Senegal and Algeria), Portugal

- **How it worked:** Colonized people were expected to adopt the language, culture, and values of the colonizer.
- **Goal:** To make Africans “French” or “Portuguese” in everything but skin color.
- **Features:**
  - French education, law, and citizenship extended to select Africans.
  - Only small elite groups were assimilated (e.g., the “Four Communes” in Senegal).
- **Limitations:**
  - Costly and unrealistic for mass implementation.
  - Led to resentment and identity loss.

### 4. Association

**Used by:** France (outside Senegal), Portugal

- **How it worked:** A more flexible alternative to assimilation, allowing Africans to retain their culture while being governed by colonial authorities.
- **Features:**
  - Local customs respected, but under colonial supervision.
  - Emphasis on cooperation rather than cultural erasure.
- **Impact:**
  - Created hybrid systems of governance.
  - Still maintained colonial dominance.

### 5. Company Rule

**Used by:** Britain (Imperial British East Africa Company), Germany (German East Africa Company)

- **How it worked:** Private companies were granted charters to administer territories, collect taxes, and maintain order.
- **Features:**
  - Focused on economic exploitation.
  - Minimal concern for social development.

- **Impact:**
  - Often brutal and exploitative.
  - Eventually replaced by formal colonial governments.

Each system had its own logic and consequences, shaping the political and cultural landscape of Africa in different ways.

### The reasons why French adopted the assimilation policy in West Africa

The French adopted the **assimilation policy in West Africa** as part of their broader colonial strategy to integrate African societies into the French cultural, political, and administrative framework. This policy was rooted in ideological, political, and economic motivations. Here's a breakdown of the key reasons:

1. **Belief in the Superiority of French Civilization:** The French viewed their culture, language, and values as superior and saw it as their duty to "civilize" African societies. Assimilation was seen as a way to uplift Africans by transforming them into French citizens—culturally and legally.
2. **Political Control and Uniform Governance:** France aimed to create a centralized colonial administration that mirrored its own domestic governance. By assimilating Africans, the French could apply the same laws and institutions across their empire, simplifying control.
3. **Spread of French Language and Culture:** Assimilation promoted the use of the French language, education system, and cultural norms. This helped unify diverse ethnic groups under a common colonial identity and facilitated communication and administration.
4. **Economic Interests:** Assimilation encouraged the development of a colonial elite who could help manage economic activities and trade. The policy also ensured that colonies remained economically dependent on France through mechanisms like the **Colonial Pact**, which restricted trade with other nations.
5. **Strategic Integration of Select Africans:** In places like the **Four Communes of Senegal** (Dakar, Saint-Louis, Gorée, Rufisque), assimilated Africans were granted French citizenship and rights. This created a loyal class of African intermediaries who supported French rule and helped enforce colonial policies.
6. **Ideological Justification for Empire:** Assimilation provided a moral and philosophical rationale for colonization, portraying it as a benevolent mission rather than exploitation. It aligned with Enlightenment ideals of universalism and equality—though in practice, it was highly selective and exclusionary.

Despite its lofty goals, the assimilation policy faced resistance and was largely impractical outside a few urban centers. It was eventually replaced by the **policy of association**, which allowed more cultural autonomy while maintaining French control.

### The impact of the French assimilation policy on African societies

The French assimilation policy in West Africa had several successes, although it was also met with significant resistance and criticism. Here are some of the notable successes and failures:

## Successes of the French assimilation policy in West Africa

### 1. Creation of Educated Elite:

- **Education:** The policy led to the establishment of French-style educational institutions, which produced a class of educated Africans. These individuals were trained in French language, culture, and administrative practices.
- **Citizenship:** Some Africans in the Four Communes of Senegal were granted French citizenship, allowing them to participate in French political life and enjoy certain rights and privileges.

### 2. Economic Integration:

- **Infrastructure Development:** The French invested in infrastructure projects such as roads, railways, and ports, which facilitated economic development and integration with the French economy.
- **Economic Opportunities:** Assimilated Africans had access to economic opportunities within the French colonial system, including employment in administrative roles and participation in trade.

### 3. Legal and Administrative Uniformity:

- **Legal System:** The implementation of French laws and administrative practices created a uniform legal and administrative system across the colonies.
- **Centralized Administration:** The centralized system of governance allowed for more efficient administration and control over the colonies.

### 4. Cultural Influence:

- **Cultural Exchange:** The policy promoted cultural exchange and the spread of French culture, language, and customs in the colonies.
- **Urbanization:** The establishment of French-style urban centers and institutions contributed to the modernization of certain areas.

### 5. Political Representation:

- **Representation in France:** Assimilated Africans were able to elect representatives to the French Parliament, giving them a voice in the French political system.
- **Political Participation:** The policy allowed for limited political participation by assimilated Africans, which laid the groundwork for future political movements and independence struggles.

## Short-comings or Failures of the French assimilation policy in West Africa

The French assimilation policy in West Africa faced several significant failures and challenges:

### 1. Cultural Resistance:

- **Imposition of French Culture:** The policy aimed to replace local cultures, languages, and customs with French ones. This led to widespread resistance from the local population, who viewed it as an attempt to erase their cultural identities.
- **Introduction of classes among Africans.** Division of Africans into 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> class contributes to its failure since the majority resented it
- **Protests and Movements:** Various movements and protests emerged to oppose French cultural imposition. For example, Shaykh Ahmadu Bamba founded the Mouridiyya movement to resist French rule.

## 2. Economic Exploitation:

- **Raw Material Production:** The colonies were expected to produce raw materials for French industries, often at the expense of local economies. This led to economic exploitation and hindered the development of local industries.
- **Colonial Pact:** The French Colonial Pact restricted the colonies from trading with other countries, ensuring that France remained the primary economic partner. This limited economic opportunities for the colonies.

## 3. Political Discontent:

- **Centralized Administration:** The centralized system of governance was seen as oppressive and unresponsive to local needs. The policy enfeebled the political authority of local rulers and chiefs, making them puppets of the French administration.
- **Limited Political Participation:** While assimilated Africans had limited political participation, the majority of the population was excluded from political decision-making.
- **The powers of local chiefs were reduced.**
- It was opposed by French elites that did not like equality between French and Africans.
- Forced labor and imprisonment without trial made it unpopular

## 4. Social Disruption:

- **Cultural Erosion:** The policy led to the erosion of traditional social structures and customs. This disrupted the social fabric of the communities and created tensions between generations.
- **Urbanization:** The establishment of French-style urban centers and institutions contributed to the migration of people from rural areas to cities, leading to overcrowding and social issues in urban areas.

## 5. Inefficiency and Cost:

- **High Cost:** The policy was expensive to implement and maintain, requiring significant resources from France and put a financial burden on local people. This made it financially unsustainable in the long run.

- **Inefficiency:** The centralized administration was often inefficient and slow to respond to local issues, leading to dissatisfaction among the colonial subjects.

## 6. Legacy of Division:

- **Cultural Divide:** The policy created a cultural divide between the assimilated elite and the rest of the population, leading to social stratification and tensions.
- **Long-term Impact:** The legacy of the assimilation policy continues to be felt in the form of cultural and social divisions, as well as economic challenges in the post-colonial era.

These failures highlight the complexities and challenges of implementing a one-size-fits-all policy in diverse and culturally rich regions like West Africa. The resistance and discontent generated by the policy ultimately led to its abandonment in favor of more flexible one.

## Differences between French assimilation system of government and the British system of indirect rule

The **French assimilation system** and the **British indirect rule** were two distinct colonial administrative strategies used in Africa, each reflecting the ideological and political priorities of their respective empires. Here's a clear comparison to help you understand their key differences:

### 1. Governance Philosophy

Aspect	French Assimilation	British Indirect Rule
<b>Core Idea</b>	Make Africans culturally and politically French	Rule Africans through their own traditional leaders
<b>View of Colonies</b>	Extensions of France (overseas provinces)	Separate entities under British control
<b>Administrative Style</b>	Centralized and uniform	Decentralized and adaptive

### 2. Cultural and Social Approach

Aspect	French Assimilation	British Indirect Rule
<b>Cultural Policy</b>	Imposed French language, laws, and customs	Preserved local customs and traditions
<b>Education</b>	French-style education for a select few	Missionary and local education systems
<b>Religion</b>	Promoted Catholicism and French secularism	Allowed religious diversity, including Islam and Christianity

### 3. Political Participation and Citizenship

Aspect	French Assimilation	British Indirect Rule
<b>Citizenship</b>	Assimilated Africans could become French citizens (e.g., in Senegal's Four Communes)	Africans remained subjects with no path to British citizenship
<b>Local Leaders</b>	Often replaced or undermined	Empowered and used as intermediaries
<b>Political Associations</b>	Suppressed political activity	Allowed limited political expression and associations

### 4. Economic and Administrative Impact

Aspect	French Assimilation	British Indirect Rule
<b>Cost of Administration</b>	Expensive due to direct governance and infrastructure	Relatively cheap—used existing structures and leaders
<b>Legal System</b>	French civil law imposed uniformly	Dual legal system—British law and customary law coexisted

### 5. Legacy and Effectiveness

- **French Assimilation:**
  - Created a small elite group of assimilated Africans.
  - Led to cultural alienation and resistance.
  - Was largely unsuccessful outside urban centers.
- **British Indirect Rule:**
  - More sustainable and widely implemented.
  - Reinforced tribal divisions and sometimes distorted traditional authority.
  - Influenced post-independence governance structures.

In essence, **France tried to transform Africans into French citizens**, while **Britain preferred to rule through African institutions**. Both systems had deep and lasting effects on the political and cultural development of African nations

#### Reasons why France abandoned the assimilation policy in West Africa

France abandoned its **assimilation policy in West Africa** due to a combination of practical failures, cultural resistance, and political backlash. While the policy aimed to transform Africans into French citizens by imposing French language, culture, and laws, it proved unrealistic and unsustainable. Here's why:

1. **Cultural Resistance and Rejection:** West Africans strongly valued their indigenous customs, languages, and religions. Most communities resisted abandoning their identity for French norms. Assimilation was only partially successful in places like the *Four Communes of Senegal* (Dakar, Saint-Louis, Gorée, Rufisque), and even there, it faced pushback.

2. **High Cost of Direct Administration:** Assimilation required direct rule, which meant deploying French officials, building infrastructure, and maintaining centralized control—an enormous financial burden. This made it impossible for France to implement assimilation across its vast territories, especially in rural and remote areas.
3. **Failure to Erase Indigenous Authority:** Chiefs and kings, though sidelined, continued to wield influence and often resisted or sabotaged assimilation efforts. Even Africans educated under French systems still maintained ties to their native cultures, creating tension and confusion.
4. **Oppressive Measures Backfired:** The harsh laws that allowed imprisonment without trial and forced labor bred resentment and rebellion rather than loyalty. These abuses undermined France’s claim of a “civilizing mission” and drew criticism both locally and internationally.
5. **Shift towards Association Policy:** France replaced assimilation with the **policy of association**, which allowed Africans to retain their culture while still being governed by French authorities. Association was more adaptable and less confrontational, making it easier to manage diverse societies across West Africa.
6. **Political Awakening and Nationalism:** Ironically, assimilation produced a class of educated Africans who began to demand equality, rights, and independence. These elites became leaders in nationalist movements, challenging the legitimacy of French rule.

In short, assimilation was abandoned because it clashed with reality: Africans weren’t willing to become French, and France couldn’t afford to make them so.

## 6.2 Response to Colonial Rule

African responses to colonial rule were **diverse, dynamic, and deeply rooted in local contexts**. Communities across the continent reacted in different ways depending on their political structures, cultural values, and strategic interests. These responses can be broadly categorized into two main types: **collaboration** and **resistance**.

### 1. Collaboration

Some African leaders and societies chose to cooperate with colonial powers, often for strategic or survival reasons.

#### Reasons for Collaboration:

- **Military protection:** Leaders like *Kabaka Mutesa I of Buganda* and *King Lewanika of Barotseland* allied with Europeans to gain support against rival kingdoms.
- **Political advantage:** Opportunists like *Semei Kakungulu* and *Sir Apollo Kagwa* collaborated to gain titles, land, and influence.
- **Economic benefits:** Some saw trade and infrastructure development as a path to prosperity.

- **Missionary influence:** Conversion to Christianity often led to closer ties with colonial authorities.
- **Fear of superior weaponry:** The presence of guns and organized armies discouraged resistance.

#### Forms of Collaboration:

- **Treaty signing:** Many leaders signed agreements that ceded control to colonial powers.
- **Mercenary support:** Some provided military aid or resources to colonial forces.
- **Career collaboration:** Educated Africans joined colonial administrations for personal gain.

## 2. Resistance

Other communities fiercely opposed colonial rule, using both armed and nonviolent methods.

#### Forms of Resistance:

- **Military resistance:** Leaders like *Samori Ture*, *Kabalega of Bunyoro*, and *Shaka Zulu* led armed campaigns to defend their sovereignty.
- **Spiritual and cultural resistance:** Movements like the *Maji Maji Rebellion* in Tanganyika used traditional beliefs to mobilize against colonial forces.
- **Passive resistance:** Some communities refused to cooperate, pay taxes, or follow colonial laws for example **Shambaa and Chagga (Mt. Kilimanjaro region)**
- **Intellectual resistance:** Educated elites used newspapers, literature, and associations to challenge colonial narratives and policies.

## 3. Adaptation and Negotiation

In many cases, African societies **adapted creatively** to colonial rule; for instance, King Lewanika of Barotseland rather than resisting militarily, chose to **negotiate with the British** by signing treaties with the **British South Africa Company (BSAC)** in the 1890s. Barotseland became a British protectorate, and Lewanika retained a degree of local authority. However, he later felt **betrayed**, realizing the BSAC had misrepresented the terms of the agreement and exploited his territory.

Please obtain free notes, exams and marking guides of Physics, chemistry, biology, geography, economics from [digitalteachers.co.ug](https://digitalteachers.co.ug) website.

Thanks

Dr. Bbosa Science